

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/754,385	01/08/2001	Jonathan M. Goldberg	3386.P010	9781	
8791	7590 11/29/2004		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY	SOKOLOFF TAYLOR	WOO, ISAAC M			
12400 WILSI SEVENTH F	HIRE BOULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ES, CA 90025-1030		2162		

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_							
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	TY T				
Office Action Summary		09/754,385		GOLDBERG ET AL.	4				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Isaac M Wo		2162					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, if yield the office later than three months after the part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the part of the part	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event ation. ys, a reply within the statuto y period will apply and will epy statute, cause the applica	, however, may a repl ry minimum of thirty (expire SIX (6) MONTH stion to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this committed to the committed state of the committ	nunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 08 January 2001							
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ This action is nor	n-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	4 404(4)				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by			=					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for factorial All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have been uments have been one priority document Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in App ts have been re 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Sta	age				
Attachment	r(s)								
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date 3/20/01, 3/12/01.	948) /SB/08) 5		Mail Datemal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)				

Application/Control Number: 09/754,385 Page 2

Art Unit: 2162

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is response to the application filed, on January 08, 2001.

2. Claims 1-30 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-17 and 19- 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Conklin (U.S. Patent No. 6,415,283).

With respect to claims 1, 19 and 26, Conklin discloses, selecting a set of knowledge profiles (200, example attribute set contains nine attributes, each attribute value is knowledge profile, preparing data set) associated with a root concept (focal point, e.g., Node A, fig. 2, 165, Cluster Identification, col. 2, lines 50-67 to col. 3, lines 1-65, knowledge profiles (attribute set, 200, fig. 2) associated with focal point node);

Art Unit: 2162

determining a knowledge neighbor (Node B-Node F, fig. 2) for the root concept (focal point, e.g., Node A, fig. 2, 165, Cluster Identification, col. 2, lines 50-67 to col. 3, lines 1-65), the knowledge neighbor being a concept common to the selected knowledge profiles (each childe node contains different attribute weights (value) that forms hierarchical knowledge node tree, fig. 2, col. 3, lines 29-67 to col. 4, lines 1-67); and deriving an affinity (relationship, col. 3, lines 28-50 between each node) for the knowledge neighbor (child nodes, Node B-Node F, fig. 2) to represent a relationship between the root concept (focal node, Node A, fig. 2) and the knowledge neighbor (child nodes, Node B-Node F, fig. 2), see (fig. 1, fig. 2, fig. 6, col. 2, lines 50-67 to col. 3, lines 1-65, col. 9, lines 1-62, the tree structure represents each knowledge node relationship).

With respect to claims 2, 20 and 27, Conklin discloses, using the knowledge neighbor as a new root concept to determine an additional knowledge neighbor, see (fig. 2, col. 3, lines 29-67 to col. 4, lines 1-67).

With respect to claim 3, Conklin discloses, filtering all concepts common to the selected knowledge profiles against a pre-determined confidence level threshold, see (fig. 2, col. 3, lines 29-67 to col. 4, lines 1-67, attribute values (weight) are used).

With respect to claim 4, Conklin discloses, filtering all knowledge profiles associated with the root concept against a pre-determined confidence level threshold, see (fig. 2, col. 3, lines 29-67 to col. 4, lines 1-67).

With respect to claims 5, 21 and 28, Conklin discloses, obtaining an identity for the root concept (focal node, node A, fig. 2), see (fig. 2, col. 3, lines 29-67 to col. 4, lines 1-67).

With respect to claim 6, Conklin discloses, receiving a user selection of the root concept, see (fig. 2, col. 3, lines 29-67 to col. 4, lines 1-67).

With respect to claim 7, Conklin discloses, root concept is selected from the group consisting of a knowledge term, a profile, a search criteria, and a document, see (data set, fig. 1, col. 3, lines 29-67 to col. 4, lines 1-67).

With respect to claims 8 and 22, Conklin discloses, creating a knowledge map to graphically illustrate the root concept, the knowledge neighbor, and the affinity, see (fig. 6, col. 9, lines 1-67 to col. 10, lines 1-65).

With respect to claims 9 and 23, Conklin discloses, using the knowledge map to designate the knowledge neighbor as a new root concept to determine an additional knowledge neighbor, see (fig. 2, col. 3, lines 29-67 to col. 4, lines 1-67).

Art Unit: 2162

With respect to claims 10 and 24, Conklin discloses, overlaying the knowledge map on an earlier generated knowledge map, see (fig. 2, col. 3, lines 29-67 to col. 4, lines 1-67).

With respect to claims 11, 25 and 29, Conklin discloses, graphically illustrating more than one knowledge neighbor as a single knowledge neighbor, see (fig. 2, col. 3, lines 29-67 to col. 4, lines 1-67).

With respect to claim 12, Conklin discloses, graphically illustrating the knowledge neighbor if it satisfies an affinity threshold, see (fig. 2, col. 3, lines 29-67 to col. 4, lines 1-67).

With respect to claim 13, Conklin discloses, node representing the root concept; node representing the knowledge neighbor; and an edge representing the affinity, the edge graphically linking the node representing the root concept and the node representing the knowledge neighbor, see (fig. 6, col. 9, lines 1-67 to col. 10, lines 1-65).

With respect to claim 14, Conklin discloses, edge is illustrated with a length proportional to the affinity, see (fig. 6, col. 9, lines 1-67 to col. 10, lines 1-65).

With respect to claim 15, Conklin discloses, edge is illustrated with a length proportional to the affinity, see (fig. 6, col. 9, lines 1-67 to col. 10, lines 1-65).

With respect to claim 16, Conklin discloses, counting the knowledge profiles associated with the knowledge neighbor; and, calculating the affinity using the count of the knowledge profiles, see (fig. 6, col. 9, lines 1-67 to col. 10, lines 1-65).

With respect to claim 17, Conklin discloses, factoring in a confidence level for the knowledge neighbor in each of the counted knowledge profiles, see (fig. 6, col. 9, lines 1-67 to col. 10, lines 1-65).

With respect to claim 30, Conklin discloses, graphically overlay the knowledge neighborhood on an earlier generated knowledge neighborhood for the root concept, see (fig. 6, col. 9, lines 1-67 to col. 10, lines 1-65).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/754,385 Page 7

Art Unit: 2162

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Guha (U.S. Patent No. 6,049,797) et al discloses the system for clustering databases containing data with categorical attributes. The present invention assigns a pair of points to be neighbors if their similarity exceeds a certain threshold. The similarity value for pairs of points can be based on non-metric information. The present invention determines a total number of links between each cluster and every other cluster bases upon the neighbors of the clusters. A goodness measure between each cluster and every other cluster based upon the total number of links between each cluster and every other cluster and the total number of points within each cluster and every other cluster is then calculated. The present invention merges the two clusters with the best goodness measure. Thus, clustering is performed accurately and efficiently by merging data based on the amount of links between the data to be clustered.

Application/Control Number: 09/754,385

Art Unit: 2162

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Isaac M Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4043. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IMW

November 18, 2004

JEAN M. CORRIELUS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8